leukemia

Modelling acquired resistance to DOT1L inhibition exhibits the adaptive potential of *KMT2A*-rearranged acute lymphoblastic

Pauline Schneider¹, Nicholas T. Crump^{2,3}, Susan T.C.J.M. Arentsen-Peters¹, Alastair L. Smith², Rico Hagelaar^{1,4}, Fabienne R.S. Adriaanse¹, Romy S. Bos¹, Anja de Jong¹, Stefan Nierkens¹, Bianca Koopmans¹, Thomas A. Milne², Rob Pieters¹ and Ronald W. Stam^{1*}

Abstract

In *KMT2A*-rearranged acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), an aggressive malignancy, oncogenic KMT2A-fusion proteins inappropriately recruit DOT1L to promote leukemogenesis, highlighting DOT1L as an attractive therapeutic target. Unfortunately, treatment with the first-in-class DOT1L inhibitor pinometostat eventually leads to non-responsiveness. To understand this we established acquired pinometostat resistance in pediatric KMT2A::AFF1⁺ B-ALL cells. Interestingly, these cells became mostly independent of DOT1L-mediated H3K79 methylation, but still relied on the physical presence of DOT1L, HOXA9 and the KMT2A::AFF1 fusion. Moreover, these cells selectively lost the epigenetic regulation and expression of various KMT2A-fusion target genes such as *PROM1/*CD133, while other KMT2A::AFF1 target genes, including *HOXA9* and *CDK6* remained unaffected. Concomitantly, these pinometostat-resistant cells showed upregulation of several myeloid-associated genes, including *CD33* and *LILRB4/CD85k*. Taken together, this model comprehensively shows the adaptive potential of *KMT2A*-rearranged ALL cells upon losing dependency on one of its main oncogenic properties.

*Correspondence:

Ronald W. Stam

¹Princess Máxima Center for Pediatric Oncology, Utrecht, The Netherlands ²MRC Molecular Haematology Unit, Radcliffe Department of Medicine, MRC Weatherall Institute of Molecular Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

³Hugh and Josseline Langmuir Centre for Myeloma Research, Centre for Haematology, Department of Immunology and Inflammation, Imperial College London, London, UK

⁴Oncode Institute, Utrecht, The Netherlands

Background

Chromosomal translocations involving the *KMT2A* (*MLL*) gene constitute the cytogenetic hallmark of acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) diagnosed in infants (<1 year of age), giving rise to an aggressive malignancy with high relapse rates and low event-free survival (EFS) chances of 30-40% [1, 2]. Hence, currently available treatment regimens for *KMT2A*-rearranged infant ALL are inadequate and require more effective therapeutic options to improve clinical outcome.

KMT2A translocations result in the fusion of *KMT2A* to one of its many translocation partner genes, [3] generating chimeric transcripts encoding highly oncogenic

© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence are only our intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.





Open Access

R.W.Stam@prinsesmaximacentrum.nl

KMT2A fusion proteins. Among infant ALL patients, *KMT2A* is most recurrently fused to either *AFF1* (*AF4*), *MLLT1* (*ENL*), or *MLLT3* (*AF9*) [3]. Functionally, wild-type *KMT2A* plays an essential role in definitive hematopoiesis [4] regulating gene expression through histone 3 lysine 4 (H3K4) methyltransferase activity, mediated by its Su(Var)₃₋₉, Enhancer-of-zeste, Trithorax (SET) domain [5]. In contrast, KMT2A fusion proteins lose their SET domain, but instead recruit the histone 3 lysine 79 (H3K79) methyltransferase DOT1L through binding motifs encoded by the translocation partner genes [6–8]. Binding of DOT1L to KMT2A fusion proteins causes inappropriate H3K79 hypermethylation at KMT2A target genes, leading to an altered transcriptomic landscape that strongly favors leukemia development [6–9].

Interestingly, the mutational landscape of KMT2Arearranged infant ALL is remarkably silent, with only 1.3-2.5 leukemia-specific, non-silent mutations in the dominant clone per patient, [10, 11] suggesting that the KMT2A translocation may well be the sole oncogenic lesion driving this aggressive type of leukemia [12]. Therefore, targeting DOT1L, through which KMT2A fusion proteins exert their oncogenic effects, represents an attractive therapeutic strategy. Accordingly, the development of the DOT1L inhibitor EPZ004777 and its successor EPZ5676 (pinometostat) [13, 14] were expected to become key to successful treatment of KMT2A-rearranged acute leukemias. However, despite promising preclinical results, subsequent clinical trials revealed that good initial responses in patients treated with pinometostat readily led to non-responsiveness due to acquired resistance and poor pharmacokinetics (PK) [15, 16]. Previously, it was reported that acquired resistance to pinometostat in KMT2A-rearranged acute leukemic cell lines is associated with enhanced drug efflux mediated by the elevated expression of the multidrug resistance transporters ABCB1 and ABCG2 [17].

Despite this, targeting DOT1L remains a promising avenue for treating KMT2A rearranged leukemias, and novel small-molecule DOT1L inhibitors with improved PK profiles have already been identified [18, 19]. For future drug development, more needs to be understood about exactly how DOT1L contributes to leukemogenesis, the role of its enzymatic methyltransferase activity, and how leukemias might develop resistance. Understanding these issues could not only impact the development of novel DOT1L inhibitors but could also be essential for better understanding the activity of novel compounds designed to target similar pathways. Therefore, following up on the study by Campbell and colleagues, [17] we here established and extensively characterized a model of acquired resistance to DOT1L inhibition in KMT2A-rearranged ALL cells.

Methods

Cell line models

The *KMT2A::AFF1*⁺ B-cell precursor ALL cell lines used are SEM, (DSMZ, cat.nr. ACC 546), and RS4;11, (ATCC; cat.nr. CRL-1873). Culture conditions are described in detail in the supplemental methods.

Establishment of acquired pinometostat resistance in SEM and RS4;11 cells

SEM and RS4;11 cells were cultured in the presence of gradually increasing concentrations (ranging from 1 to 100 μ M) of the DOT1L inhibitor pinometostat (EPZ5676, Selleckchem), for 14 weeks. For assessment of the in vitro response to pinometostat, cells were cultured in the absence of pinometostat for a few passages before exposing the cells to six concentrations (ranging from 0 to 100 μ m), of pinometostat for 14 days. Trypan blue exclusion counts were used to calculate the inhibitory pinometostat concentration to 50% of the leukemic cells (i.e., IC₅₀ value). *p* values were determined by ratio paired t-test using four biological replicates, mean with range.

Immunoblotting

The presence of histone modifications and the levels of protein expression were determined by immunoblot analysis, as described in the supplemental methods.

RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) and chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq)

RNA- and ChIP-sequencing was performed on a Next-Seq[®] 500 System (Illumina[®]). Experimental procedures and analyses are described in the supplemental methods.

Assay for transposase-accessible chromatin sequencing (ATAC-seq)

ATAC sequencing was outsourced to Active Motif (ATACseq Service: https://www.activemotif.com/cata-log/1233/atac-seq-service) to identify regions that have open or accessible chromatin states, as described in the supplemental methods.

Flow cytometry (FACS) analysis

Details of FACS analysis are described in the supplemental methods.

RNA interference

To transiently induce mRNA knockdowns, leukemic cells were electroporated in the presence of 500 nM of smallinterfering RNAs (siRNAs) directed against the mRNA of selected target genes, as described previously [20], and as described in the supplemental methods.

Quantitative reverse-transcription PCR analysis

RNA, isolated using the RNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN), was reverse transcribed and the obtained cDNA was used for quantitative reverse-transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis as described previously [21], and as described in the supplemental methods.

Cell viability assays and high-throughput drug screening

Cell viability assays were performed using flow cytometry and 7-AAD viability dye (BioLegend) to discriminate between viable and dead cells. Further details on the cell viability assays and high-throughput drug screens are described in the supplemental methods.

Statistical analysis

Statistical significance of independent experimental replicates in graphs were determined by two-sided Student's t-tests. All statistical analyses were conducted using GraphPad Prism8, version 8.3.4. p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Establishment of acquired resistance to DOT1L inhibition in *KMT2A*-rearranged ALL cells

To induce acquired resistance to DOT1L inhibition, the $KMT2A::AFF1^+$ ALL cell line SEM [22] was exposed to increasing concentrations of the first-in-class DOT1L inhibitor pinometostat for 14 weeks (Fig. 1A). Next, cells were cultured in the absence of drug before evaluating potential changes in pinometostat-induced cytotoxicity. Exposure of maternal SEM cells to 50 µM pinometostat for 1 week reduced the percentage of viable cells to ~35%.

In contrast the viability of SEM cells that underwent prolonged exposure to increasing pinometostat concentrations was hardly affected (Fig. 1B). This pinometostat-resistant daughter line, designated as SEM^{PINO_RES}, revealed a 34-fold higher 14-day-IC₅₀ value as compared to maternal SEM cells (Fig. 1C), indicating that SEM^{PINO_RES} became highly resistant. An additional model of intermediate resistance was established in SEM cells (i.e., SEM^{PINO_INT}) by prolonged exposure to 4.5 μ M pinometostat for 7 weeks, leading to a 16-fold higher IC₅₀ (Fig. 1C).

Similar to SEM^{PINO_RES}, we also induced pinometostat resistance in the *KMT2A::AFF1*⁺ ALL cell line RS4;11. With a mean 14-day-IC₅₀ value of ~10 μ M, representing pinometostat concentrations well above maximum achievable plasma levels in pinometostat-treated patients, [15, 16, 23] maternal RS4;11 is more resistant than SEM (Fig. 1C). Two emerging pinometostatresistant RS4;11 daughter lines, i.e., RS4;11^{PINO_RES#1} and RS4;11^{PINO_RES#2}, showed IC₅₀ values of 33–45 μ M (Fig. 1C). To assess the inhibitory effects of pinometostat on DOT1L-mediated methyltransferase activity, the levels of H3K79 di-methylation (H3K79me2) were determined by immunoblot analysis. Interestingly, the levels of H3K79me2 in SEM and SEM^{PINO_RES} were comparable and pinometostat was able to substantially reduce the levels of H3K79me2 equally in both cell lines (Fig. 1D-E). Hence, despite persistent inhibition of DOT1L-mediated H3K79 methylation, cell viability in SEM^{PINO_RES} is no longer affected, suggesting that these cells became largely independent of H3K79 methylation induced by DOT1L.

Next, we assessed whether changes in global histone modifications had occurred between SEM and $\text{SEM}^{\text{PINO}_\text{RES}}.$ For this we used Mod Spec*, a mass spectrometry-based measurement for the relative abundance of over 80 distinct histone marks. This analysis confirmed no differences in the levels of H3K79 mono-, di-, and tri-methylation (i.e., H3K79me1, H3K79me2, and H3K79me3, respectively) between SEM and SEM^{PINO_RES}, and showed equal reduction of these histone marks upon pinometostat exposure (Figure S1). Moreover, these data demonstrated that the global landscape of histone modifications between SEM cells and SEM^{PINO_RES} largely remained similar. The only histone modification that is downregulated in response to pinometostat exposure appeared to be H3K79 methylation, demonstrating the specificity of this agent.

In KMT2A-rearranged acute leukemias, the KMT2A fusion protein is considered to be the main oncogenic driver and loss of DOT1L was shown to specifically decrease KMT2A fusion-driven transcriptional programs, including the expression of HOXA9. [7] Therefore, we asked whether acquired resistance to DOT1L inhibition was accompanied by an altered dependency on DOT1L, KMT2A::AFF1 and/or HOXA9. Therefore SEM and SEM^{PINO_RES} cells were subjected to siRNAmediated knockdown of these genes, resulting in significant reductions in mRNA expression of ~75-80% for DOT1L and KMT2A::AFF1, and ~50-65% for HOXA9, relative to non-silencing controls (NSCs) (Fig. 1F). Validation on the protein level confirmed a reduction of DOT1L of ~90% in SEM and of >90% in SEM $^{\rm PINO_RES}$ (Fig. 1H,I). Knockdown of DOT1L was accompanied by a reduction of H3K79me2 of ~90% in SEM, and of ~40% SEM^{PINO_RES} (Fig. 1H, J). Surprisingly, knockdown of DOT1L and KMT2A::AFF1 resulted in similar reductions in cell viability in both SEM and SEM^{PINO_RES}. For HOXA9 suppression the effects on cell viability in SEM^{PINO_RES} appeared to be somewhat delayed (Fig. 1G). This suggests that although SEMPINO_RES cells became less sensitive to inhibition of H3K79 methylation in terms of leukemic cell survival, these cells remained dependent on the physical presence of proteins known to be



Fig. 1 Establishment of acquired resistance to DOT1L inhibition in *KMT2A*-rearranged ALL cells. **A**. Graphic overview of acquired resistance induction to DOT1L inhibition in KMT2A::AFF1 + B-cell ALL (SEM) leading to pinometostat-resistant cells (SEM^{PINO_RES}). **B**. Viable cell percentage of SEM and SEM^{PINO_RES} cells in the absence (-) or presence (+) of 50 μ M pinometostat for 7 days, normalized to cells cultured without pinometostat. Data present the mean +/- the standard deviation (SD) derived from 2 biological replicates. **C**. IC50 values of viable cells of the indicated cell line models determined using six drug concentrations (0-100 μ M) for 14 days. The data illustrates the mean +/- SD from 4 biological replicates, each comprising 3 technical replicates. **D**. Immunoblot images of H3K79me2 and total histone H3 in SEM and SEM^{PINO_RES} cells cultured with or without 50 μ M pinometostat for 7 days. **E**. Quantification of H3K79me2 protein expression using densitometry analysis normalized against total histone H3 expression. Data represent fold-changes normalized against untreated SEM cells for 2 biological replicates. **F**. mRNA expression of DOT1L, KMT2A:AFF1, and HOXA9 determined by qRT-PCR analysis, and **G**. viable cell percentage in SEM and SEM^{PINO_RES} at day 2 and day 4 after siRNA-mediated knockdown (KD) relative to non-silencing controls (NSCs). Data of 2 biological replicates ±SD, *p < 0.005, ***p < 0.0005, ****p < 0.0001. (**H**) Immunoblot images of DOT1L, H3K79me2, and GAPDH protein expression in SEM and SEM^{PINO_RES} cells at day 4 following siRNA-mediated KD of DOT1L, and corresponding quantification of **(I)** DOT1L or **(J)** H3K79me2 protein expression relative to GAPDH using densitometry analysis.

Differences were statistically evaluated using unpaired t-tests

important in *KMT2A*-mediated leukemogenesis, including DOT1L.

Acquired resistance to DOT1L inhibition leads to selective loss of KMT2A-fusion driven gene expression

Next, we performed RNA- and ChIP-seq for KMT2A, AFF1, H3K4me3, H3K79me2, and H3K27ac, as well as ATAC-seq on SEM and SEM^{PINO_RES} cells cultured in both the absence and presence of 50 μ M pinometostat

for 7 days. Interestingly, as assessed by ChIP-seq, there are very few observable differences in the global profiles of KMT2A, AFF1 or H3K79me2 as well as ATACseq profiles between SEM or SEM^{PINO_RES}, suggesting that acquired pinometostat resistance does not lead to obvious global changes in open chromatin (Fig. 2A). Upon analyzing gene expression profiles, it became apparent that in the absence of pinometostat, there was a noteworthy decrease in the expression of 760 out of the 13,371



Fig. 2 Characterization of SEM^{PINORES} by RNA-, ATAC-, and ChIP-sequencing. **A.** Heatmap showing ChIP-seq reads of KMT2A, AFF1, H3K79me2, and ATAC-seq reads at all KMT2A::AFF1 binding sites in SEM cells as well as SEM^{PINO_RES} at the same location, ranked by peak width. Scale bar represents normalized read count. **B.** Pie chart showing the number of genes for which the expression was significantly (i.e., at false discovery rate (FDR) adjusted *p*-values of <0.05) downregulated (blue), upregulated (red), or remain unchanged (gray) between SEM^{PINO_RES} in comparison to SEM (RNA-seq data; n = 4 biological replicates/sample). **C.** Venn diagram showing the overlap of downregulated (blue) or upregulated (red) putative KMT2A-fusions target genes (n = 181) (white) in SEM^{PINO_RES} compared to SEM. The putative KMT2A fusion target genes in this figure comprise the combination of genes identified by four independent studies [9, 20, 24, 25], and **D.** similar Venn diagrams are presented using the KMT2A fusion target genes (n = 50) as well as the most negatively enriched and significantly upregulated genes (n = 50) as well as the most negatively enriched and significantly upregulated genes (n = 50) as well as the most negatively enriched and SEM^{PINO_RES} cells cultured for 7 days in either the absence (-) or presence (+) of 50 μ M pinometostat of n = 4 biological replicates

genes expressed (5.7%), while 588 genes (4.4%) exhibited an increase in expression in SEM^{PINO_RES} cells in comparison to SEM cells (Fig. 2B, Table S1). The differences in gene expression patterns triggered by pinometostat were relatively less prominent between the two cell line models (Figure S2, Table S1). In the presence of pinometostat in the original SEM cells, 670 genes (5.0%) revealed a significant decrease in expression, and 596 genes (4.5%) demonstrated a notable increase in expression, when compared to untreated SEM cells. Conversely, in the presence of pinometostat in SEM^{PINO_RES} cells, 208 genes (1.6%) were significantly downregulated, while 388 genes (2.9%) were significantly upregulated compared to untreated SEM^{PINO_RES} cells. Interestingly, a considerable number of genes reported to represent potential target genes of KMT2A fusion proteins [9, 20, 24, 25] were significantly downregulated in SEM^{PINO_RES} cells (Fig. 2C, Table S1). Approximately half of the KMT2A::AFF1 target genes identified by Guenther et al. [24] were downregulated in SEM^{PINO_RES} (Fig. 2D, Table S1), as well as a quarter of the top 50 genes associated with H3K79 methvlation in KMT2A-rearranged acute leukemia patient samples as identified by Krivtsov et al. [9].

Likewise, $\sim 25\%$ of the genes we previously reported to be differentially expressed in response to siRNA-mediated repression of KMT2A::AFF1 and KMT2A:MLLT1 in KMT2A-rearranged ALL cells, [20] as well as a fourth of the genes reported to display binding of KMT2A:AFF1 that spreads beyond the gene promoter and well into the gene body as recently identified in SEM cells by Kerry et al., [25] were downregulated in SEM^{PINO_RES} (Fig. 2D. Table S1). Thus, acquired resistance to DOT1L inhibition leads to selective (or partial) loss of KMT2A-fusion driven gene expression. To explore biological pathways potentially affected by acquired resistance to DOT1L inhibition, we performed Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) on all RNA-seq data, and identified various hallmark gene sets to be significantly (nominal *p*-value < 0.05) modulated in SEM^{PINO_RES}. These included the upregulated gene sets 'MYC targets v1 and v2' and 'Oxidative Phosphorylation, as well as downregulated gene sets such as 'p53 pathway' (i.e., DNA damage response genes), 'epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT)' and 'hypoxia' (Fig. 2E).

Examination of the genes most prominently enriched (n=50) and the genes most notably under-represented (n=50) in our GSEA data revealed *PROM1* to be the most downregulated gene (GSEA score of -4,83) and *CCNA1* the most positively enriched gene (GSEA score of 3,66) in SEM^{PINO_RES} cells (Fig. 2F). Both genes represent putative KMT2A fusion targets epigenetically marked by H3K79 methylation and have shown to be highly and specifically expressed in *KMT2A*-rearranged ALL [9]. *PROM1* encodes a transmembrane glycoprotein

(i.e., CD133) commonly regarded as a cancer stem cell marker [26–28] and reported to be an important target of KMT2A::AFF1 [24, 29, 30]. *PROM1* is robustly expressed in SEM cells but readily downregulated during pinometostat exposure, whereas *PROM1* expression was nearly absent in SEM^{PINO_RES} (Fig. 3A). Analysis at protein level by immunoblot and FACS confirmed the complete loss of PROM1/CD133 in SEM^{PINO_RES}, while in SEM^{PINO_INT} PROM1/CD133 was still present in in ~88% of the cells (Fig. 3B-E). This indicates that PROM1/CD133 expression is gradually lost from the population after prolonged pinometostat exposure. Similarly, RS4;11 cells firmly express PROM1/CD133, which was markedly reduced in both RS4;11^{PINO_RES#1} and RS4;11^{PINO_RES#2} (Fig. 3B-E).

ChIP-sequencing data for KMT2A, AFF1, H3K4me3, H3K79me2, and H3K27ac showd that upon pinometostat exposure, SEM cells display a clear reduction of KMT2A binding in PROM1, which was accompanied by strong reductions of the levels of H3K79me2, H3K4me3, and H3K27ac at the PROM1 gene as well as at its enhancer TAPT1 [29] (Fig. 3F). In untreated SEM^{PINO-RES} cells the PROM1 locus is completely devoid of KMT2A, AFF1, H3K79me2, H3K4me3, and H3K27ac, suggesting that this gene is no longer being regulated by KMT2A::AFF1 and subsequent DOT1L-mediated H3K79 methylation. Also, ATAC-sequencing clearly revealed decreased chromatin accessibility at the promoter and enhancer of PROM1 in SEM^{PINO-RES} (Fig. 3F). Interestingly, at the TAPT1 locus in these same SEMPINO-RES cells KMT2A and AFF1 binding as well as the levels of H3K4me3, H3K79me2, and H3K27ac to some extent remained intact (Fig. 3F).

In addition to *PROM1*, the expression of other putative KMT2A::AFF1 target genes, including *RUNX2*, *PRSS12*, *ZC3H12*, *SERPINB1*, *GNAQ* and *BANK1* were severely downregulated in SEM^{PINO-RES} with a logFC of >3-fold (Fig. 2F and Figure S3A) and exhibited similar patterns of RNA-, ChIP-, and ATAC-seq as observed for *PROM1* (Figure S3B), indicating their dependence on KMT2A::AFF1-mediated epigenetic control. In contrast, at *SERPINB1* only moderate levels of H3K79me2 were observed, accompanied by rather weak binding of KMT2A and absence of AFF1, suggesting that this gene may not necessarily be regulated KMT2A fusion proteins and DOT1L (Figure S3A, B),).

Collectively, these data demonstrate that a selection of known KMT2A::AFF1 target genes that are responsive to pinometostat-mediated DOT1L inhibition in SEM cells are relieved from the epigenetic control of KMT2A::AFF1 and become transcriptionally silenced in SEM^{PINO_RES} cells.

Following previous evidence on the role of DOT1L in *HOXA* gene expression in KMT2A::AFF1⁺ ALL cells, [9, 24] we examined the *HOXA* locus and found that *HOXA*9

H3K79me2

H3K79me2

H3K27ac

H3K27ac - 4000

H3K27ac

H3K27ac

H3K4me3

H3K4me3

H3K4me3

H3K4me3

KMT2A

KMT2A

AFF1



Fig. 3 Acquired resistance to DOT1L inhibition leads to selective loss of KMT2A-fusion driven PROM1 expression. A.PROM1 mRNA expression in SEM and SEM^{PINO_RES} cells cultured for 7 days in the absence (-) or presence (+) of 50 µM pinometostat, as determined by RNA-seq. Values indiacte normalized counts with SD derived from four biological replicates for each cell line and condition. ****p < 0.0001, B. Western blot images of PROM1 and GAPDH protein levels in indicated cell line models, and C. quantification of PROM1 expression relative to GAPDH by densitometry analysis. Values indicate mean ± SD PROM1 protein expression as determined in two biological replicates. *p<0.05. D. Histograms showing the counts of viable cells positive for PROM1/ CD133 of indicated cell line models, as determined by flow cytometry (FACS) analysis, and E. Quantification of PROM1/CD133 expression presented as the mean \pm SD as determined by two independent FACS experiments. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.05. **F.** Differences in chomatin accessibility at the *PROM1* and TAPT1 gene locus between SEM^{PINO_RES} and SEM cells as determined by ATAC-sequencing of two biological replicates (on top). Vertical blue lines indicate significant decreases of chromatin accessibility in SEM^{PINO_RES} cells, whereas grey lines indicate equal chromatin accessibility in both SEM^{PINO_RES} and SEM. The ATAC-sequencing results are followed by ChIP-sequencing tracks of the same locus showing the distribution of H3K79Me2, H3K27Ac, H3K4Me3, KMT2A in SEM and SEM^{PINO_RES} cells cultered for 7 days in either the absence (-) or presence (+) of 50 µM pinometostat. Differences were statistically evaluated using unpaired t-tests

and HOXA10 were expression at comparable levels in both SEM and SEMPINO_RES (Fig. 4A). Inhibition of DOT1L-mediated H3K79 methylation by pinometostat resulted in moderately decreased expression of HOXA9, HOXA7, and HOXA10, while the levels of H3K27ac, H3K4me3, KMT2A, and AFF1 remained unchanged in both cell lines (Fig. 4A,B). Similar patterns were found for other KMT2A-fusion target genes, including CDK6, involved in cell proliferation in KMT2A rearranged ALL [20] (Fig. 4A,B and S4A-C). The expression of MEIS1, which encodes a required co-factor of HOXA9-driven leukemogenesis, [9, 24, 31, 32] remained unaltered upon pinometostat exposure despite reductions in the levels of H3K79me2 in both SEM and SEM^{PINO_RES} (Fig. 4A,B and S4A,B,C). Collectively, this indicates that a subset of KMT2A-fusion target genes continued to be regulated by DOT1L in pinometostat-resistant ALL cells, while a separate group of genes showed no transcriptional response to the inhibition of DOT1L-mediated H3K79 methylation.

Intriguingly, we also found the expression of some putative KMT2A-fusion target genes to be upregulated in SEM^{PINO_RES} in the absence of pinometostat (Figure S4D), including HOXA7, NLGN4X, CCNA1, FCRLA, IL7R, LYN and FUT4 (Fig. 4A,B and Figure S4D,E).

Upregulation of myeloid-associated gene expression in KMT2A-rearranged ALL cells upon acquired resistance to **DOT1L** inhibition

Apart from differential gene expression of putative KMT2A::AFF1 target genes, our data also revealed changes in expression of genes not associated with KMT2A fusions and/or H3K79 methylation (Fig. 2F). One of the most enriched and upregulated genes upon acquired pinometostat-resistance according to our GSEA is LILRB4 (Figs. 2F and 5A), encoding the monocytic differentiation marker CD85k [33–35]. LILRB4 is hardly expressed in SEM cells but is moderately upregulated during pinometostat exposure and substantially expressed in SEM^{PINO_RES} cells (Fig. 5A). In SEM cells, pinometostat induced an increase of chromatin accessibility as well as an increase in the levels of H3K27ac and KMT2A binding at the LILRB4 locus, yet no H3K79me2 or binding of AFF1 was detected, suggesting that



Fig. 4 Unaltered or upregulated gene expression of KMT2A-fusion targets after acquired resistance to DOT1L inhibition. **A**. *HOXA7, HOXA9, HOXA10, MEIS1, CKD6,* and *CCNA1* mRNA expression SEM and SEM^{PINO_RES} cells cultured for 7 days in the absence (-) or presence (+) of 50 μ M pinometostat, as determined by RNA-seq. Values indiacte normalized counts with standard deviation (SD) derived from four biological replicates for each cell line and condition. Differences in expression were statistically evaluated using unpaired t-tests; * *p* < 0.05, * *p* < 0.05, ** *p* < 0.005, *** *p* < 0.0005, **** *p* < 0.0001. Differences in chomatin accessibility at the *HOXA, MEIS1, CKD6,* and *CCNA1* gene loci between SEM^{PINO_RES} and SEM cells as determined by ATAC-sequencing by two biological replicates (on top). Vertical blue lines indicate significant decreases of chromatin accessibility in SEM^{PINO_RES} cells, whereas grey lines indicate equal chromatin accessibility in both SEM^{PINO_RES} and SEM. Red lines indicate significant increases in chromatin accessibility in SEM^{PINO_RES}. Below the ATAC-sequencing data, ChIPseq tracks showning the presence of H3K79Me2, H3K27Ac, H3K4Me3, KMT2A, and AFF1 at the corresponding gene loci in SEM and SEM^{PINO_RES} cells cultured for 7 days in the abscence (-) or presence (+) of 50 μ M pinometostat

upregulated of *LILRB4* expression is not dependent on DOT1L or KMT2A::AFF1 (Fig. 5B).

FACS analysis confirmed an increased population of ~60% in LILRB4/CD85k positive cells in SEM^{PINO_RES} compared to only~7% in SEM (Fig. 5C,E). Counterintuitively, instead of an expected moderate increase in LILRB4/CD85k-positive cells, we found SEM^{PINO_INT} to have lost LILRB4/CD85k expression almost completely (Fig. 5C,E). In RS4;11 already 25% of the cells were positive for LILRB4/CD85k, which tremendously increased to approximately 90% of the cells in both RS4;11^{PINO_RES} daughter lines (Fig. 5C,E). Interestingly, apart from LILRB4/CD85k, we found additional myeloid-associated genes to be upregulated in SEMPINO_RES, including CD33, CCL5, LIMK1, and MPEG1, revealing similar patterns of RNA-, ChIP- and ATAC-seq as LILRB4, although less prominent (Fig. 2F, Figures S5A, S5B). CD33, commonly expressed in a subpopulation in *KMT2A*-rearranged infant ALL [36–38], serves as an important immunophenotypic marker for the characterization of pediatric acute myeloid leukemia (AML) by EuroFlow [39-42] and has been exploited as a therapeutic target for AML. In SEM a subpopulation of 20% of CD33-positive cells was identified, which was increased in SEM^{PINO_RES} to about 40%, yet CD33-positive cells again were largely absent in SEM^{PINO-INT} (Fig. 5D,F). Similarly, in RS4;11 a CD33-positive subpopulation of 9% was increased upon pinometostat resistance to 14% in RS4;11^{PINO_RES#1} and up to 50% in RS4;11^{PINO_RES#2} (Fig. 5D,F).

Together these data indicate that under prolonged pressure of DOT1L inhibition, *KMT2A*-rearranged ALL cells seem to initiate a reprogramming process that involves the acquisition (or selection) of myeloid-like characteristics.

Drug screens reveal minimal cross resistance, and sensitization towards venetoclax after acquired pinometostat resistance

Finally, acquired pinometostat resistance led to the upregulation of the multidrug efflux pump ABCB1 (Fig. 2F and Figure S6A,B), associated with multidrug resistance and previously reported as the mechanism of pinometostat resistance in *KMT2A*-rearranged acute



Fig. 5 Upregulation of myeloid-associated CD85k/LILRB4 and CD33 expression during the acquirement of resistance to DOT1L inhibition. **A***LILRB4* mRNA expression in SEM and SEM^{PINO_RES} cells cultured for 7 days in the absence (-) or presence (+) of 50 μ M pinometostat, as determined by RNA-seq. Values indiacte normalized counts with SD derived from four biological replicates for each cell line and condition. Differences in expression were statistically evaluated using unpaired t-tests; **p < 0.005, ***p < 0.0005. **B**. Differences in chomatin accessibility at the *LILRB4* gene locus between SEM^{PINO_RES} and SEM cells as determined by ATAC-sequencing by 2 biological replicates (on top). Red boxes indicate locations within the *LILRB4* gene locus of significantly increased of chromatin accessibility in SEM^{PINO_RES} as compared to SEM cells. In additionm, ChIPseq tracks are presented showing the presence of H3K79Me2, H3K27Ac, H3K4Me3, KMT2A, and AFF1 at the same locus in and indicated cell line models cultured for 7 days in the absence (-) or presence (+) of 50 μ M pinometostat. **C**. Histograms showing the counts of viable cells positive for CD85k/LILRB4 and **D**. CD33 protein surface expression of indicated cell line models, as determined by flow cytometry (FACS) analysis. Fluorescence Minus One (FMO) controls were used to determine the cut-off point for the positive cell population. **E**. Quantification of CD85k/LILRB4 and **F**. CD33 expression represented as the mean ± SD, determined through either one (R54;11 cells) or two (SEM cells) independent FACS experiments, each involving biological replicates. Differences in expression were statistically evaluated using unpaired t-tests; *p < 0.005, **p < 0.005

leukemia cell lines [17]. However, our data challenges the concept that elevated ABCB1 expression alone is the mechanism of resistance to DOT1L inhibition as reported previously [17]. Despite significant ABCB1 upregulation, we still observe comparable inhibition of H3K79 methylation in SEM and SEM^{PINO_RES} (Fig. 1D and E), indicating that the amount of pinometostat and/ or its retention in SEM^{PINO_RES} cells is sufficient to effectively reduce H3K79me2 levels, overriding the impact of ABCB1 upregulation.

Since multidrug efflux pumps are associated with multidrug resistance [43, 44], we assessed whether SEM^{PINO_RES} cells had become more resistant to current chemotherapeutics for *KMT2A*-rearranged infant ALL [1, 2] and whether we could identify agents to which SEM^{PINO_RES} cells had become more sensitive by performing drug library screens (Table S2, Fig. 6A,B).

This revealed an increased resistance to the glucocorticoids dexamethasone and prednisolone (the liver-activated form of prednisone), vincristine, daunorubicin, and L-asparaginase, and increased sensitivity to cytarabine and for instance to the BCL-2 inhibitor venetoclax (Fig. 6A-E). Interestingly, cytarabine typically represents a drug commonly used in the treatment of myeloid leukemias, and the combination of venetoclax and cytarabine has successfully been tested in AML patients [45–47].

Discussion

The currently accepted dogma of KMT2A-fusion driven leukemogenesis dictates the requirement of DOT1Lmediated activation of KMT2A target genes through H3K79 methylation [6, 9, 48, 49]. Therefore, targeting DOT1L [13, 18, 19] represents an attractive therapeutic option for patients diagnosed with KMT2A-rearranged acute leukemia, despite the first-in-class DOT1L inhibitor pinometostat showing dissatisfying results in adult patients [15]. While next generation DOT1L inhibitors with improved pharmacokinetic profiles are in development, [18, 19] we reasoned that the mechanisms by which KMT2A-rearranged acute leukemia cells evade DOT1L inhibition may provide novel insights into the biology of these unique malignancies. Therefore, and in a similar fashion as published by Campbell and colleagues [17], we efficiently induced acquired pinometostat resistance in various cell line models, demonstrating how readily KMT2A-rearranged acute leukemia cells become resistant to DOT1L inhibition. The study of Campbell et al., mainly focused on examples of possible mechanisms of pinometostat resistance including increased expression of drug efflux transporters and activation of the PI3K/AKT and RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK pathways [17]. In contrast, we here specifically focused on the behavior and epigenetic regulation of DOT1L-associated KMT2A



Fig. 6 Moderate levels of cross-resistance and substantial sensitization towards venetoclax in pinometostat-resistant *KMT2A*-rearranged ALL cells. **A**. Drug response curves for prednisolone, dexamethasone, vincristine, daunorubicin, cladribine, cytarabine, and L-asparaginase as determined by 4-day MTT assays in SEM and SEM^{PINO_RES} cells with n = 4 biological replicates, each comprising n = 3 technical replicates, and presented as the mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM). **B**. Comparison of the IC₅₀ in SEM and SEM^{PINO_RES} cells for a total of 679 compounds tested in drug library screens. **C**. Showing the top 10 agents with the highest fold-changes in IC₅₀ values in SEM^{PINO_RES} as compared to SEM, indicating enhanced resistance in SEM^{PINO_RES} cells. **D**. The top 10 drugs with the lowest fold-changes in IC₅₀ values in SEM^{PINO_RES} as compared to SEM, indicating enhanced sensitivity in SEM^{PINO_RES} cells. **E**. Drug response curves for venetoclax as determined by 4-day MTT assays in indicated cell lines models (in duplicate), presented as the mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM)

fusion-driven target genes and on how the transcriptomic landscape changes in *KMT2A*-rearranged ALL cells that are able to evade leukemic cell death during prolonged inhibition of DOT1L-mediated H3K79 methylation.

In concordance with the previous finding by Campbell et al., we found increased expression of the multidrug efflux pump *ABCB1* in our pinometostat-resistant SEM^{PINO_RES} cells. However, despite the elevated levels of *ABCB1* expression, pinometostat continued to inhibit H3K79 methylation in SEM^{PINO_RES}. This strongly indicates that the increased levels of ABCB1 are insufficient to prevent pinometostat from exerting its inhibitory effects, and therefore cannot be the sole mechanism of acquired pinometostat resistance.

Interestingly, while cell viability of SEM^{PINO_RES} cells was no longer affected by pinometostat-induced inhibition of DOT1L-mediated H3K79 methylation, these cells remained dependent on the physical presence of DOT1L protein. This may indicate that recently described biological functions of DOT1L that are independent of H3K79 methylation [50–52] are also important for *KMT2A*rearranged acute leukemia cells. Thus, in addition to its enzymatic methylatransferase activity, DOT1L clearly has a scaffold function in assembling transcriptionally competent complexes. Therefore, therapeutic degradation of DOT1L instead of solely inhibiting its catalytic activities might be beneficial in the treatment of *KMT2A*rearranged acute leukemia.

Another intriguing aspect of our model of acquired pinometostat resistance is the observation that SEM^{PINO_RES} cells remained vulnerable to knockdown of the KMT2A::AFF1 fusion gene. This may suggest that inappropriate recruitment of DOT1L to loci otherwise not associated with H3K79me2 may not represent the sole KMT2A fusion-mediated attribute driving leukemogenesis and/or leukemia maintenance. If so, the identification of such DOT1L-independent oncogenic properties may well uncover important therapeutic targets and more effective treatment options for *KMT2A*-rearranged acute leukemias.

As shown, acquired resistance to pinometostat led to marked transcriptional downregulation of putative KMT2A-fusion target genes, which was accompanied by reductions in H3K79me2, as well as loss of binding of KMT2A and AFF1, and chromatin condensation at the corresponding loci. The complete loss of PROM1/CD133, which was shown to be transcriptionally regulated via KMT2A::AFF1-mediated H3K79me2/3 enhancer-promoter interactions, [29] in SEMPINO_RES is highly remarkable, since the expression of PROM1/ CD133 was reported to be essential for leukemic cell growth in KMT2A-rearranged ALL [29, 30]. Consequently, targeting PROM1/CD133-positive cells has been proposed as a therapeutic option for KMT2A-rearranged ALL, although the expression of PROM1/CD133 on both fetal and adult hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) may compromise the specificity of such an approach [29, 30, 53, 54]. Moreover, PROM1/CD133 is expressed in most, but not all, *KMT2A*-rearranged acute leukemia patients, and its presence seems to reflect the immunophenotype and/or cell of origin of the leukemia, as HSCs and early progenitors typically express PROM1/CD133, while more differentiated B-cell progenitors do not [29, 54, 55]. As acquiring resistance to DOT1L inhibition was accompanied by a complete loss of PROM1/CD133 expression, this may suggest that prolonged exposure to pinometostat triggered the differentiation towards (or selection of) a more mature immunophenotype. On the other hand, our data revealed that prolonged exposure of KMT2Arearranged ALL cells to pinometostat seems to initiate a reprogramming process that involves the acquirement (or selection) of myeloid-like characteristics. Co-expression of myeloid CD markers, including CD33, [36] represents a familiar phenomenon in KMT2A-rearranged infant ALL with prognostic relevance [37, 38]. Moreover, a recent single-cell multiomics study by Chen and co-workers revealed the presence of pre-existing lymphomyeloid primed progenitors and myeloid blasts in diagnostic samples derived from KMT2A-rearranged B-ALL patients [56]. From this perspective, prolonged inhibition of DOT1L seems to favor KMT2A-rearranged leukemia cells that completely lack PROM1/CD133 but do display LILRB4/CD85k and CD33 expression. Interestingly, both LILRB4/CD85k and CD33 are therapeutic targets in AML [57-63] and have shown potential as therapeutic vulnerabilities in KMT2A-rearranged ALL. Targeting LILRB4/CD85k with antibody-conjugates [57] or anti-LILRB4 CAR-T cells [64, 65] and/or CD33 with gemtuzumab ozogamicin, could prevent resistance to DOT1L inhibitors in KMT2A-rearranged ALL. Moreover, combining BCL-2 inhibition by venetoclax with agents targeting DOT1L, LILRB4/CD85k, and/or CD33 may enhance the efficacy of these drug combinations. Venetoclax was found to synergize with DOT1L inhibitors [25, 66] and is being evaluated in clinical trials for pediatric KMT2A-rearranged leukemias [67, 68].

Taken together, we present an in vitro model of acquired resistance to DOT1L inhibition in *KMT2A*-rearranged ALL, revealing selective loss of epigenetic regulation and gene expression of KMT2A-fusion target genes, accompanied by upregulation of myeloid-like characteristics. This study may not only impact the development of novel DOT1L inhibitors, but also reveal key characteristics of *KMT2A*-rearranged ALL cells that are able to evade therapy, providing therapeutic targets to prevent that.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s40164-023-00445-8.

Supplementary Material 1

Acknowledgements

We thank the members of the Stam group, as well as Jules P.P. Meijerink at the Princess Máxima Center for advice and discussions. We thank Utrecht Sequencing Facility for providing sequencing service and data. Utrecht Sequencing Facility is subsidized by the University Medical Center Utrecht, Hubrecht Institute, Utrecht University and The Netherlands X-omics Initiative (NWO project 184.034.019).

Author contributions

R.W.S. and P.S. conceived and designed the study; R.W.S. arranged funding; P.S., S.T.C.J.M.A-P, R.S.B., F.R.S.A, A.J. and B.K. performed experiments; N.T.C., A.L.S. and R.H analyzed sequencing data; P.S. processed and analyzed data; P.S.

performed statistical analysis; S.N., R.P. and T.A.M. contributed to interpreting data; P.S. made figures; P.S and R.W.S. wrote the paper; all authors co-authors performed critical review of the manuscript and gave their final approval; P.S., and R.W.S. supervised the project.

Funding

The present study was financially supported by Foundation KiKa (Stichting Kinderen Kankervrij/Foundation Children Cancer-free), the Netherlands. T.A.M., N.T.C. and A.L.S were funded by Medical Research Council (MRC, UK) Molecular Haematology Unit grants MC_UU_00016/6 and MC_UU_00029/6. N.T.C. was supported by a Kay Kendall Leukaemia Fund Intermediate Fellowship (KKL1443).

Availability of data and materials

The cell lines resistant to DOT1L inhibitor pinometostat generated in this study is available from the lead contact upon request. Data and code availability: Sequencing data generated for this publication have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO), accession GSE230807. Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

Declarations

Conflict of interest

T.A.M. and N.T.C. are paid consultants for and shareholders in Dark Blue Therapeutics Ltd. The other authors declare no competing financial interests.

Received: 9 August 2023 / Accepted: 11 September 2023 Published online: 22 September 2023

References

- Pieters R, De Lorenzo P, Ancliffe P, et al. Outcome of Infants younger than 1 year with Acute lymphoblastic leukemia treated with the Interfant-06 protocol: results from an International Phase III Randomized Study. J Clin Oncol. 2019;37(25):2246–56.
- Pieters R, Schrappe M, De Lorenzo P, et al. A treatment protocol for infants younger than 1 year with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (Interfant-99): an observational study and a multicentre randomised trial. Lancet. 2007;370(9583):240–50.
- Meyer C, Burmeister T, Groger D, et al. The MLL recombinome of acute leukemias in 2017. Leukemia. 2018;32(2):273–84.
- Ernst P, Wang J, Korsmeyer SJ. The role of MLL in hematopoiesis and leukemia. Curr Opin Hematol. 2002;9(4):282–7.
- Krivtsov AV, Armstrong SA. MLL translocations, histone modifications and leukaemia stem-cell development. Nat Rev Cancer. 2007;7(11):823–33.
- Bernt KM, Armstrong SA. A role for DOT1L in MLL-rearranged leukemias. Epigenomics. 2011;3(6):667–70.
- Bernt KM, Zhu N, Sinha AU, et al. MLL-rearranged leukemia is dependent on aberrant H3K79 methylation by DOT1L. Cancer Cell. 2011;20(1):66–78.
- Okada Y, Feng Q, Lin Y, et al. hDOT1L links histone methylation to leukemogenesis. Cell. 2005;121(2):167–78.
- Krivtsov AV, Feng Z, Lemieux ME, et al. H3K79 methylation profiles define murine and human MLL-AF4 leukemias. Cancer Cell. 2008;14(5):355–68.
- Agraz-Doblas A, Bueno C, Bashford-Rogers R, et al. Unraveling the cellular origin and clinical prognostic markers of infant B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia using genome-wide analysis. Haematologica. 2019;104(6):1176–88.
- Andersson AK, Ma J, Wang J, et al. The landscape of somatic mutations in infant MLL-rearranged acute lymphoblastic leukemias. Nat Genet. 2015;47(4):330–7.
- Sanjuan-Pla A, Bueno C, Prieto C, et al. Revisiting the biology of infant t(4;11)/ MLL-AF4 + B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Blood. 2015;126(25):2676–85.
- Daigle SR, Olhava EJ, Therkelsen CA, et al. Selective killing of mixed lineage leukemia cells by a potent small-molecule DOT1L inhibitor. Cancer Cell. 2011;20(1):53–65.
- 14. Daigle SR, Olhava EJ, Therkelsen CA, et al. Potent inhibition of DOT1L as treatment of MLL-fusion leukemia. Blood. 2013;122(6):1017–25.

- Stein EM, Garcia-Manero G, Rizzieri DA, et al. The DOT1L inhibitor pinometostat reduces H3K79 methylation and has modest clinical activity in adult acute leukemia. Blood. 2018;131(24):2661–9.
- 16. Stein EM, Tallman MS. Mixed lineage rearranged leukaemia: pathogenesis and targeting DOT1L. Curr Opin Hematol. 2015;22(2):92–6.
- Campbell CT, Haladyna JN, Drubin DA, et al. Mechanisms of Pinometostat (EPZ-5676) treatment-emergent resistance in MLL-Rearranged leukemia. Mol Cancer Ther. 2017;16(8):1669–79.
- Perner F, Gadrey JY, Xiong Y, et al. Novel inhibitors of the histone methyltransferase DOT1L show potent antileukemic activity in patient-derived xenografts. Blood. 2020;136(17):1983–8.
- Chen C, Zhu H, Stauffer F, et al. Discovery of Novel Dot1L inhibitors through a structure-based Fragmentation Approach. ACS Med Chem Lett. 2016;7(8):735–40.
- van der Linden MH, Willekes M, van Roon E, et al. MLL fusion-driven activation of CDK6 potentiates proliferation in MLL-rearranged infant ALL. Cell Cycle. 2014;13(5):834–44.
- 21. Spijkers-Hagelstein JA, Pinhancos SS, Schneider P, Pieters R, Stam RW. Chemical genomic screening identifies LY294002 as a modulator of glucocorticoid resistance in MLL-rearranged infant ALL. Leukemia. 2014;28(4):761–9.
- Greil J, Gramatzki M, Burger R, et al. The acute lymphoblastic leukaemia cell line SEM with t(4;11) chromosomal rearrangement is biphenotypic and responsive to interleukin-7. Br J Haematol. 1994;86(2):275–83.
- Waters NJ. Preclinical pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of Pinometostat (EPZ-5676), a first-in-Class, small molecule S-Adenosyl methionine competitive inhibitor of DOT1L. Eur J Drug Metab Pharmacokinet. 2017;42(6):891–901.
- 24. Guenther MG, Lawton LN, Rozovskaia T, et al. Aberrant chromatin at genes encoding stem cell regulators in human mixed-lineage leukemia. Genes Dev. 2008;22(24):3403–8.
- Kerry J, Godfrey L, Repapi E, et al. MLL-AF4 spreading identifies binding Sites that are distinct from super-enhancers and that govern sensitivity to DOT1L inhibition in Leukemia. Cell Rep. 2017;18(2):482–95.
- Barzegar Behrooz A, Syahir A, Ahmad S. CD133: beyond a cancer stem cell biomarker. J Drug Target. 2019;27(3):257–69.
- 27. Wu Y, Wu PY. CD133 as a marker for cancer stem cells: progresses and concerns. Stem Cells Dev. 2009;18(8):1127–34.
- Zhang Q, Shi S, Yen Y, Brown J, Ta JQ, Le AD. A subpopulation of CD133(+) cancer stem-like cells characterized in human oral squamous cell carcinoma confer resistance to chemotherapy. Cancer Lett. 2010;289(2):151–60.
- Godfrey L, Crump NT, O'Byrne S, et al. H3K79me2/3 controls enhancerpromoter interactions and activation of the pan-cancer stem cell marker PROM1/CD133 in MLL-AF4 leukemia cells. Leukemia. 2021;35(1):90–106.
- Mak AB, Nixon AM, Moffat J. The mixed lineage leukemia (MLL) fusionassociated gene AF4 promotes CD133 transcription. Cancer Res. 2012;72(8):1929–34.
- Adamaki M, Lambrou GI, Athanasiadou A, Vlahopoulos S, Papavassiliou AG, Moschovi M. HOXA9 and MEIS1 gene overexpression in the diagnosis of childhood acute leukemias: significant correlation with relapse and overall survival. Leuk Res. 2015;39(8):874–82.
- Hu YL, Fong S, Ferrell C, Largman C, Shen WF. HOXA9 modulates its oncogenic partner Meis1 to influence normal hematopoiesis. Mol Cell Biol. 2009;29(18):5181–92.
- Dobrowolska H, Gill KZ, Serban G, et al. Expression of immune inhibitory receptor ILT3 in acute myeloid leukemia with monocytic differentiation. Cytometry B Clin Cytom. 2013;84(1):21–9.
- Costa AFO, Menezes DL, Pinheiro LHS, et al. Role of new immunophenotypic markers on prognostic and overall survival of Acute myeloid leukemia: a systematic review and Meta-analysis. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):4138.
- Churchill HRO, Fuda FS, Xu J, et al. Leukocyte immunoglobulin-like receptor B1 and B4 (LILRB1 and LILRB4): highly sensitive and specific markers of acute myeloid leukemia with monocytic differentiation. Cytometry B Clin Cytom. 2021;100(4):476–87.
- Hara J, Hosoi G, Okamura T, et al. CD33 + B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia in children: a distinct subgroup of B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Int J Hematol. 1995;61(2):77–84.
- Stutterheim J, de Lorenzo P, van der Sluis IM, et al. Minimal residual disease and outcome characteristics in infant KMT2A-germline acute lymphoblastic leukaemia treated on the Interfant-06 protocol. Eur J Cancer. 2022;160:72–9.
- Stutterheim J, van der Sluis IM, de Lorenzo P, et al. Clinical implications of minimal residual disease detection in infants with KMT2A-Rearranged Acute

Lymphoblastic Leukemia treated on the Interfant-06 protocol. J Clin Oncol. 2021;39(6):652–62.

- Laszlo GS, Estey EH, Walter RB. The past and future of CD33 as therapeutic target in acute myeloid leukemia. Blood Rev. 2014;28(4):143–53.
- van Dongen JJ, Orfao A, EuroFlow C, EuroFlow. Resetting leukemia and lymphoma immunophenotyping. Basis for companion diagnostics and personalized medicine. Leukemia. 2012;26(9):1899–907.
- van Dongen JJ, Lhermitte L, Bottcher S, et al. EuroFlow antibody panels for standardized n-dimensional flow cytometric immunophenotyping of normal, reactive and malignant leukocytes. Leukemia. 2012;26(9):1908–75.
- Kalina T, Flores-Montero J, van der Velden VH, et al. EuroFlow standardization of flow cytometer instrument settings and immunophenotyping protocols. Leukemia. 2012;26(9):1986–2010.
- Baguley BC. Multiple drug resistance mechanisms in cancer. Mol Biotechnol. 2010;46(3):308–16.
- Szakacs G, Paterson JK, Ludwig JA, Booth-Genthe C, Gottesman MM. Targeting multidrug resistance in cancer. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2006;5(3):219–34.
- 45. Dillon R, Maycock S, Jackson A, et al. Venetoclax combined with low dose cytarabine compared to standard of care intensive chemotherapy for the treatment of favourable risk adult acute myeloid leukaemia (VICTOR): study protocol for an international, open-label, multicentre, molecularly-guided randomised, phase II trial. BMC Cancer. 2022;22(1):1174.
- Kadia TM, Reville PK, Wang X, et al. Phase II study of Venetoclax added to Cladribine Plus Low-Dose Cytarabine Alternating with 5-Azacitidine in older patients with newly diagnosed Acute myeloid leukemia. J Clin Oncol. 2022;40(33):3848–57.
- Wei AH, Strickland SA Jr, Hou JZ, et al. Venetoclax Combined with Low-Dose Cytarabine for previously untreated patients with Acute myeloid leukemia: results from a phase Ib/II study. J Clin Oncol. 2019;37(15):1277–84.
- Nguyen AT, He J, Taranova O, Zhang Y. Essential role of DOT1L in maintaining normal adult hematopoiesis. Cell Res. 2011;21(9):1370–3.
- 49. Kuntimaddi A, Achille NJ, Thorpe J, et al. Degree of recruitment of DOT1L to MLL-AF9 defines level of H3K79 Di- and tri-methylation on target genes and transformation potential. Cell Rep. 2015;11(5):808–20.
- Cao K, Ugarenko M, Ozark PA, et al. DOT1L-controlled cell-fate determination and transcription elongation are independent of H3K79 methylation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2020;117(44):27365–73.
- 51. Wu A, Zhi J, Tian T, et al. DOT1L complex regulates transcriptional initiation in human erythroleukemic cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2021;118:27.
- Yi Y, Ge S. Targeting the histone H3 lysine 79 methyltransferase DOT1L in MLLrearranged leukemias. J Hematol Oncol. 2022;15(1):35.
- Li D, Hu Y, Jin Z, et al. TanCART cells targeting CD19 and CD133 efficiently eliminate MLL leukemic cells. Leukemia. 2018;32(9):2012–6.
- Bueno C, Velasco-Hernandez T, Gutierrez-Aguera F, et al. CD133-directed CAR T-cells for MLL leukemia: on-target, off-tumor myeloablative toxicity. Leukemia. 2019;33(8):2090–125.

- O'Byrne S, Elliott N, Rice S, et al. Discovery of a CD10-negative B-progenitor in human fetal life identifies unique ontogeny-related developmental programs. Blood. 2019;134(13):1059–71.
- Chen C, Yu W, Alikarami F, et al. Single-cell multiomics reveals increased plasticity, resistant populations, and stem-cell-like blasts in KMT2A-rearranged leukemia. Blood. 2022;139(14):2198–211.
- Anami Y, Deng M, Gui X, et al. LILRB4-targeting antibody-drug conjugates for the treatment of Acute myeloid leukemia. Mol Cancer Ther. 2020;19(11):2330–9.
- Gui X, Deng M, Song H, et al. Disrupting LILRB4/APOE Interaction by an efficacious humanized antibody reverses T-cell suppression and blocks AML Development. Cancer Immunol Res. 2019;7(8):1244–57.
- 59. Deng M, Chen H, Liu X, et al. Leukocyte immunoglobulin-like receptor subfamily B: therapeutic targets in cancer. Antib Ther. 2021;4(1):16–33.
- Dhunputh C, Strullu M, Petit A et al. Single-dose (4.5 mg/m(2)) gemtuzumab ozogamicin in combination with fludarabine, cytarabine and anthracycline as reinduction therapy in relapsed or refractory paediatric acute myeloid leukaemia. Br J Haematol. 2022.
- de Rooij JD, Zwaan CM, van den Heuvel-Eibrink M, Pediatric AML. From Biology to Clinical Management. J Clin Med. 2015;4(1):127–49.
- Abuasab T, Rowe J, Tvito A. Emerging monoclonal antibody therapy for the treatment of Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. Biologics. 2021;15:419–31.
- Percival MM, Estey EH. Current treatment strategies for measurable residual disease in patients with acute myeloid leukemia. Cancer. 2019;125(18):3121–30.
- 64. John S, Chen H, Deng M, et al. A novel Anti-LILRB4 CAR-T cell for the treatment of monocytic AML. Mol Ther. 2018;26(10):2487–95.
- 65. Smith CHR, Xie J, Liu X, He Y, Ludwig K, Klesse L, Zhang C, John S. LILRB4 is a Novel Target for KMT2A rearranged Acute Leukemia. Blood; 2022.
- Benito JM, Godfrey L, Kojima K, et al. MLL-Rearranged Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemias activate BCL-2 through H3K79 methylation and are sensitive to the BCL-2-Specific antagonist ABT-199. Cell Rep. 2015;13(12):2715–27.
- Gibson A, Trabal A, McCall D et al. Venetoclax for Children and Adolescents with Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia and Lymphoblastic Lymphoma. Cancers (Basel). 2021;14(1).
- Winters AC, Maloney KW, Treece AL, Gore L, Franklin AK. Single-center pediatric experience with venetoclax and azacitidine as treatment for myelodysplastic syndrome and acute myeloid leukemia. Pediatr Blood Cancer. 2020;67(10):e28398.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.